UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,368	09/12/2005	Michael Crothers	833.009	1515	
23598 POVI E EDEC	7590 01/09/2008 DRICKSON S.C.	•	EXAMINER		
840 North Plan	nkinton Avenue	SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C			
MILWAUKE	E, WI 53203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1657		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/09/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@boylefred.com

	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		532,368	68 CROTHERS ET AL.				
		miner	Art Unit				
	Dr.	Kailash C. Srivastava	1657				
The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears	on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three month: earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE (ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In imunication. statutory period will appl by will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICA n no event, however, may a reply y and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABAN	ATION: y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this c RDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
 Responsive to communication(s) fit This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice. 	2b)⊠ This action for allowance e	n is non-final. xcept for formal matter		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restrict Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to be specification.	are withdrawn fro	on requirement.	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected	ection to the drawing the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· ·	Paper No(s)/I	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 1657

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Preliminary amendment filed 20 April 2005 is acknowledged and entered.

Informal Matters

- Please note that the correct Serial Number of your Application under prosecution at United 2. States Patent and Trademark Office (i.e., USPTO) is 10/532,368. Please ensure that the correct U.S. Serial Number for this application is cited in all future correspondence with this Office.
- The assigned Art Unit location of your application cited supra at the USPTO is 1657. To aid in 3. correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1657.
- The assigned Examiner to your application cited supra at the USPTO is Dr. Kailash C. 4. Srivastava. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Examiner Kailash C. Srivastava in Art Unit 1657.

Objection to Oath

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 5. C.F.R. §1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See M.P.E.P . §602.01 and §602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

Non-initialed and/or non-dated alterations have been made to the oath or declaration. See 37 C.F.R. §1.52(c).

Claims Status

- 6. Claims 11-12 have been added.
- Claims 1 and 3-10 have been amended 7.
- 8. Claims 1-12 are pending.

Election /Restriction

- 9. This application contains the following groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121 and 372.
 - Group I, consisting of claims 1-3 and 8-9, drawn to microcapsules comprising lipophilic active encapsulated in a capsule of a plurality of microorganisms.
 - Group II, consisting of claims 4-6, drawn to a method to manufacture microcapsules
 comprised of a plurality of microorganisms encapsulating lipophilic active, wherein during
 said manufacturing a microorganism and a lipophilic active are brought together in a
 temperature range of 45 °C to 60°C and a conditioning step in a temperature range of 15 °C
 to 50°C.
 - Group III, consisting of claims 4, 7 and 11-12, drawn to a method to manufacture
 microcapsules comprising bringing together a plurality of microorganisms and a lipophilic
 active, wherein the microorganism cell wall and cell membrane encapsulate the lipophilic
 active.
 - Group IV, consisting of claim 10 drawn to a method to administer a microcapsule comprised of a plurality of microorganisms, each encapsulating in its intact cell wall and membrane a lipophilic active microorganism.

Inventions are Independent or Distinct

10. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical features of the inventions in groups II-IV are methods, whereas technical feature of invention in Group I is a composition that is manufactured according to the methods in Groups II-III and a method to utilize said composition (i.e., Group IV invention). Thus, inventions in Groups II-IV are all drawn to a method. Furthermore, none of the inventions in Groups II-IV share the same or similar technical feature of invention in Group I, a composition/formulation. Furthermore, the inventions engrouped in groups II-IV each do not share a common technical feature, because they each have

different components, different steps in conducting said claim methods, different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different final effects. Moreover, the alleged special technical feature, in invention of Group II or III invention about making a plurality of microorganisms comprising bringing together plurality of microorganisms and a lipophilic active, do not provide a contribution over the prior art as evidenced by for e.g., Bishop et al. (Microencapsulation in Yeast Cells, Journal of Microencapsulation, Volume 15, number 6, Pages 761-763, 1998) because prior art discusses methods and formulations comprising steps and components described for the instantly claim methods of Groups II-III inventions, and Product encompassed in instantly grouped Group I invention I (i.e., Claims 1-3 and 8-9). Since no special technical feature exists among the inventions in groups I-IV, there is no unity of invention.

- 11. Please be advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 C.F.R. §1.143). An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 12. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(I).
- 13. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of M.P.E.P. §821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 C.F.R. §1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 C.F.R. §1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103, and §112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise

include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. §121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. §804.01.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kailash C. Srivastava whose telephone number is (571) 272-0923. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. (Eastern Standard or Daylight Savings Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Jon Weber can be reached at (571)-272-0925 Monday through Thursday 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (i.e., PAIR) system. Status information for the published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (i.e., EBC) at: (866)-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kailash C. Srivastava, Ph.D. Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1657
(571) 272-0923

31 December 2007

DAVID M. NAFF PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1287457